Assessing financial model risk and an application to electricity prices

Giacomo Scandolo

University of Florence giacomo.scandolo@unifi.it

joint works with Pauline Barrieu (LSE) and Angelica Gianfreda (LBS)

LUH-Kolloquium - Hannover December 2014

Outline

- Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier
- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

Outline

Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier

- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

Model risk

- ▶ Model risk is a recurrent theme in Economics and Finance.
- It broadly refers to the (bad) impact the choice of a wrong model can have.
- ► It is difficult to define or measure. Also, it is questionable what the correct model is (and whether it exists)
- It certainly affects areas such as portfolio choice, pricing, hedging and measurement of risk
- A distinction should be made between model (or misspecification) risk and estimation risk.
- In general, two broad approaches have been pursued: model averaging (Bayesian or not) and worst-case approach.

Model risk

- Model risk has a strong impact when assessing the risk of a portfolio.
- ► Several model assumptions affect the final VaR (or ES) figure
 - Volatilities
 - Other marginal distributions
 - Correlations
 - Other joint distributions (copulae)
 - Pricing models for derivatives and choice of the relevant factors
- Worst-case risk measures under different sets of models (incomplete information) have been intensively studied, also in connection with robust portfolio optimization. See Ghaoui, Oks and Oustry (2003) among many others.
- Kerkhof, Melenberg and Schuhmacher (2010) introduce a measure of model risk which is based on the worst-case risk figure. It is different from what we propose here.

- Within the Basel framework, financial institutions are allowed to use internal models to assess the capital requirement due to market risk.
- The term that measures risk in *usual* conditions is given by:

$$CC = \max\left\{ VaR^{(0)}, \frac{\lambda}{60} \sum_{i=1}^{60} VaR^{(-i)} \right\},\$$

where

- ► *VaR*⁽⁰⁾ is the portfolio's **Value-at-Risk** (order 1% and 10-day horizon) computed/estimated today
- $VaR^{(-i)}$ is the VaR we obtained *i* days ago
- λ is the *multiplier*

▶ Remind:

 $\begin{aligned} &VaR_{\alpha}(X) = -F_X^{-1}(\alpha) & \text{if } F_X \text{ is invertible} \\ &= -\inf\{x : F_X(x) \ge \alpha\} & \text{more in general} \end{aligned}$

► Remind

$$CC = \max\{VaR_0, \lambda \overline{VaR}\}$$

 $(\overline{VaR}$ average of the last 60 VaR's)

- The **multiplier** (λ) is assigned to each institution by the regulator
- It depends on back-testing performances of the system (poor performance yields higher λ) and it is revised on a periodical basis
- ▶ It is in the interval [3, 4]
- As λ ≥ 3, it is apparent that in normal conditions the second term is the leading one in the maximum giving the capital charge CC

- Stahl (1997) offered a simple theoretical justification for λ to be in the range [3, 4]
- ► Let *X* be the portfolio Profits-and-Losses (r.v.) due to market risk.
- ► As the time-horizon is short, we can assume E [X] = 0. From Chebishev inequality:

$$P(X \leqslant -q) \leqslant P(|X| \geqslant q) \leqslant \frac{\sigma^2}{q^2}, \quad q > 0.$$

It immediately follows

$$VaR_{\alpha}(X) \leqslant \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

The r.h.s. provides an **upper bound** for the VaR of a r.v. having mean 0 and variance σ²

This bound can be compared with the VaR we obtain under the normal hypothesis (α < 0.5)</p>

$$VaR_{\alpha}(X) = \sigma |z_{\alpha}| \qquad (z_{\alpha} = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha))$$

• Here are the two VaRs (normal: black, upper bound: red, $\sigma = 1$)



▶ Here is the ratio (upper bound/normal)



A Chebishev bound for the Expected Shortfall

The Chebishev inequality can be used to obtain an upper bound for the Expected Shortfall

$$ES_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{\alpha} VaR_u(X) \, du$$

under $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\sigma(X) = \sigma$.

Integrating we have

$$ES_{\alpha}(X) \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{u}} du = \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{\alpha}}$$

A Chebishev bound for the Expected Shortfall

▶ Under the **normal** hypothesis for *X* we have

$$ES_{\alpha}(X) = \frac{\sigma\varphi(z_{\alpha})}{\alpha}$$

where φ is the density of a standard normal.

• Here are the two ESs (normal: black, upper bound: red, $\sigma = 1$)



A Chebishev bound for the Expected Shortfall

▶ Here is the ratio (upper bound/normal)



Cantelli upper bounds

- ► Even though Chebishev inequalities are sharp, the upper bounds on VaR and ES are not.
- A single-tail **sharp** inequality is the **Cantelli**'s one:

$$P(X \leqslant -q) \leqslant rac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 + q^2} \qquad (q < 0)$$

It follows a sharp bound for VaR

$$VaR_{\alpha}(X) \leqslant \sigma \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$$

and a slightly improved (but still not sharp) bound for ES

$$ES_{\alpha}(X) \leq \sigma \left(\sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} \right)$$

Outline

- Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier
- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

- ► We want to generalize the notion of multiplier as the **ratio** between the worst-case risk and the risk computed under a reference model.
- ► So, we need to consider:
 - a risk measure
 - ▶ a **reference** model
 - a set of **alternative** models

- A risk measure *ρ* is given, defined on some set of random variables.
- We assume ρ is
 - ▶ **law-invariant**, i.e. $\rho(X) = \rho(Y)$ whenever $X \sim Y$
 - ▶ **positive homogeneous:** $\rho(aX) = a\rho(X)$ for any $a \ge 0$
 - ▶ **translation invariant:** $\rho(X + b) = \rho(X) b$ for any $b \in \mathbb{R}$
- Both VaR and ES satisfy these properties (but also spectral and other r.m.)

- Let X_0 be a reference r.v. Assume $\rho(X_0) > 0$.
- By law-invariance, what really matters is the **distribution** of X_0 .
- Let \mathcal{L} be a set of alternative r.v.'s, with $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}$.

Define

$$\underline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}) = \inf_{X \in \mathcal{L}} \rho(X), \qquad \overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}) = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}} \rho(X)$$

and assume they are finite.

► The absolute measure of model risk is defined as

$$AM = AM(\rho, X_0, \mathcal{L}) = \frac{\overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L})}{\rho(X_0)} - 1.$$

- AM ≥ 0 with equality if and only if X₀ has already a worst-case distribution, i.e. ρ(X₀) = ρ(L)
- ► We see that *AM* + 1 can be interpreted as a *generalized* multiplier
- ► The larger is *L*, the higher is *AM* (hence *absolute* measure)

An absolute measure of model risk: properties

▶ (scale invariance) For any *a* > 0 it holds

$$AM(aX_0, a\mathcal{L}) = AM(X_0, \mathcal{L})$$

where $a\mathcal{L} = \{aX : X \in \mathcal{L}\}.$

• (translation) For $b \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$AM(X_0+b,\mathcal{L}+b) \left\{egin{array}{c} > AM(X_0,\mathcal{L}), & ext{for } b>0\ < AM(X_0,\mathcal{L}), & ext{for } b<0 \end{array}
ight.$$

where $\mathcal{L} + b = \{X + b : X \in \mathcal{L}\}.$

For *X* having mean μ and variance σ^2 , consider the set of alternative models

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\sigma} = \{X : \mathbb{E}[X] = \mu, \ \sigma(X) = \sigma\}$$

Set, as before, $\mu = 0$.

• By scale invariance, w.l.o.g. we concentrate on the case $\sigma = 1$.

• If $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}$, we have

$$AM = \frac{\overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{0,1})}{\rho(X_0)} - 1$$

We already know (sharp Cantelli ineq.) that

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}} VaR_{\alpha}(X) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}}$$

 Bertsimas et al (2004), using convex programming techniques, proved that

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}} ES_{\alpha}(X) = \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}$$

(a much lower bound than that derived using Cantelli)

Therefore

$$AM = \frac{1}{\rho(X_0)} \sqrt{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} - 1$$

for $\rho = VaR_{\alpha}$ or ES_{α}

• X_0 standard **normal**. Black: VaR, red: ES.



• X_0 student-t with $\nu = 3$ degrees of freedom. Black: VaR, red: ES.



Outline

- Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier
- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

A relative measure of model risk: definition

> The relative measure of model risk is defined as

$$RM = RM(\rho, X_0, \mathcal{L}) = rac{\overline{
ho}(\mathcal{L}) -
ho(X_0)}{\overline{
ho}(\mathcal{L}) - \underline{
ho}(\mathcal{L})}.$$

For instance

$$RM = 0.75$$
 $\mu \qquad \mu \qquad \rho(X_0) \qquad \overline{\rho}$

- ▶ $0 \leq RM \leq 1$ and RM = 0 or 1 precisely when $\rho(X_0) = \overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L})$ (no model risk) or $\rho(X_0) = \rho(\mathcal{L})$ (full model risk)
- ► *RM* need not be increasing in *L*, thus providing a *relative* assessment of model risk

A relative measure of model risk: properties

► (scale and translation invariance) For any a > 0 and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds

$$RM(aX_0+b, a\mathcal{L}+b) = RM(X_0, \mathcal{L}).$$

• As
$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu,\sigma} = \sigma \mathcal{L}_{0,1} + \mu$$
 it follows

$$RM(X_0, \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\sigma}) = RM(\widetilde{X}_0, \mathcal{L}_{0,1}),$$

where

$$\widetilde{X}_0 = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$$

- A more general result holds for $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mu,\sigma}$, with $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \{\widetilde{X} : X \in \mathcal{L}\}$ replacing $\mathcal{L}_{0,1}$
- ► Therefore, w.l.o.g. we can concentrate on **standard** r.v. (i.e. in $\mathcal{L}_{0,1}$)

We already know that

$$\sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}} VaR_{\alpha}(X) = \sup_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}} ES_{\alpha}(X) = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha}}$$

Using bi-atomic distributions it is quite easy to see that

$$\inf_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}} VaR_{\alpha}(X) = -\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \qquad (negative!)$$

Using tri-atomic distributions we can also prove that

$$\inf_{X\in\mathcal{L}_{0,1}}ES_{\alpha}(X)=0$$

(see also Bertsimas et al, 2004)

• Let X_0 standard.

► For VaR we immediately find

$$RM = 1 - \alpha - \sqrt{\alpha(1 - \alpha)} VaR_{\alpha}(X_0)$$

► In a similar way, for ES

$$RM = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}} ES_{\alpha}(X_0)$$

► X₀ standard normal. Black: VaR, red: ES.



• X_0 student-t with $\nu = 3$ degrees of freedom. Black: VaR, red: ES.



Outline

- Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier
- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

A local measure of model risk: definition

- ▶ Finally, we want to assess model risk **locally** around *X*₀
- Let (L_ε)_{ε>0} a decreasing (as ε decreases) family of alternative distributions sets, meaning that
 - $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ is a set of r.v. for any $\varepsilon > 0$
 - if $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon'}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \cap_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} = \{X_0\}$
- Examples (assume $X_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}$)
 - ► If *d* is some **distance** between distributions (Levy, Kolmogorov, Kullback-Leibler divergence, etc) consider

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} = \{X : d(X, X_0) \leqslant \varepsilon\}$$

In particular, Kullback-Leibler (or relative entropy) is considered by Alexander and Sarabia (2012) and by Glasserman and Xu (2013)

- As before, with all *X* in $\mathcal{L}_{0,1}$
- if F_0 is the distribution of X_0 ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} = \{X : F_X = (1 - \theta)F_0 + \theta G, \ G \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}, \ \theta \in (0, \varepsilon)\}$$

A local measure of model risk: definition

► The local measure of model risk is

$$LM = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} RM(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}) - \rho(X_0)}{\overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}) - \underline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon})}$$

- The limit is in the form 0/0
- ▶ If it exists, then it is in [0, 1]
- ► It describes the **relative position** of $\rho(X_0)$ w.r.t. the worst and best case for infinitesimal perturbations.

Consider again the set of ε-mixtures

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} = \{X : F_X = (1 - \theta)F_0 + \theta G, \ G \in \mathcal{L}_{0,1}, \ \theta \in (0, \varepsilon)\}$$

- It is immediate to see that $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathcal{L}_{0,1}$
- ► Using results from the theory of Markov-Chebishev extremal distributions we can prove that for $\rho = VaR_{\alpha}$ we have

$$\underline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}) = \inf_{X \in \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}} VaR_{\alpha}(X) = VaR_{\frac{\alpha}{1-\varepsilon}}(X_0)$$

provided α is small enough ($\alpha < (1 - \varepsilon)F_0(0)$)

• Also, $r = \overline{\rho}(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon})$ is solution of the following equation

$$(1-\varepsilon)F_0(-r) + \frac{\varepsilon}{1+r^2} = \alpha$$

which can easily be treated numerically.

► X_0 standard normal, $\rho = VaR_{\alpha}$. Black: $\varepsilon = 0.2$, red: $\varepsilon = 0.05$. α on the x-axis



► X_0 standard normal, $\rho = VaR_{\alpha}$. Black: $\alpha = 1\%$, red: $\alpha = 3\%$. ε on the x-axis



 Using de l'Hôpital and the particular form of the extremal distribution we can also explicitly compute (remind: ρ = VaR_α)

$$LM = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} RM(\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}) = 1 - \alpha(1 + q_{\alpha}(X_0)^2)$$

provided X₀ is a.c.

• If X_0 is standard normal

$$LM = 1 - \alpha (1 + z_{\alpha}^2)$$

► X_0 standard normal, $\rho = VaR_{\alpha}$. LM as a function of α on the x-axis



Outline

- Model risk in risk management and the Basel multiplier
- "Absolute" measure of model risk
- "Relative" measure of model risk
- "Local" measure of model risk
- Application to electricity prices

The portfolio

- ► As a numerical example, we apply the relative measure of model risk to daily Value-at-Risk (1% and 5%) estimation for a portfolio investing in the (German) electricity market
- ► In particular, let P_t be the price at day t for 1 MWh in the day-ahead market (this is considered as a spot market). Notice that P_t may become negative in Germany!
- ► At every day, the portfolio invests in 1 unit (i.e. 1 MWh), so that its daily Profit and Loss is

$$PL_{t+1} = \Delta_{t+1}P = P_{t+1} - P_t.$$

• If \mathcal{F}_t is the information up to time *t*, we want to estimate

$$VaR_{\alpha,t+1} = VaR_{\alpha}(PL_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

where $\alpha = 1\%$ or 5%.

Electricity prices

Day-ahead price for 1 MWh (in Euro) in the German market



GARCH modeling

- ▶ Price differences $X_t = \Delta_t P$ are usually assumed to be nearly stationary. However, contrarily to equity prices, the mean component is not negligible.
- ▶ We estimate (daily) an AR(5)-GARCH(1,1) model for *X_t*, meaning that

$$X_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t = \mu_t + \sigma_t Z_t,$$

where

• $\mu_t = \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ is defined according to the AR(5)

$$\mu_t = c + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_5 X_{t-5}$$

• $\sigma_t = \sigma(X_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})$ is defined according to the GARCH(1,1) model

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

• the innovations (Z_t) are IID ~ D(0, 1) (i.e. standard)

According to this specification

$$VaR_{\alpha}(PL_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t) = -\mu_{t+1} + \sigma_{t+1} VaR_{\alpha}(Z)$$

Reference and alternative distributions

- ▶ In the classical "normal GARCH", we assume $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. This is our *reference distribution*.
- ► Then we consider as alternative distributions:
 - Skew Normal
 - t-Student and Skew t-Student
 - Generalized Error Distributions (GED) and Skew GED
 - Johnson's SU;
 - Normal Inverse Gaussian (NIG);
 - Generalized Hyperbolic (a superclass including some of the previous classes).
- These are distributions of common use in risk management. Note that some allow for asymmetry, some for heavy tails and for both.
- ► The parameters of alternative distributions are fitted with ML using observed innovations (i.e. the series $\hat{Z}_t = (X_t \mu_t)/\sigma_t$)

Relative measure of model risk

Day by day we compute

$$\overline{VaR}_{\alpha,t} = \sup_{Z \in \text{Models}} VaR_{\alpha}(PL_t \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = -\mu_t + \sigma_t \sup_{Z \in \text{Models}} VaR_{\alpha}(Z),$$

where "Models" is a suitable class of models for Z.

- We define $\underline{VaR}_{\alpha,t}$ similarly.
- ► Therefore, the empirical measure of relative model risk at date *t* is

$$RM_{t} = \frac{\overline{VaR}_{\alpha,t} - VaR_{\alpha,t}^{Normal}}{\overline{VaR}_{\alpha,t} - \underline{VaR}_{\alpha,t}} = \frac{\sup_{Z} VaR_{\alpha}(Z) - VaR_{\alpha}(N(0,1))}{\sup_{Z} VaR_{\alpha}(Z) - \inf_{Z} VaR_{\alpha}(Z)}$$

Notice that the rhs depends on day t as the parameters for the distributions of innovations are fitted to past observations.

Results

• Relative measure of model risk for $VaR_{1\%}$



Results

▶ Rel. measure of model risk for $VaR_{5\%}$ (red) and $VaR_{1\%}$ (black)



References

- ► Barrieu P., Scandolo G. (2014) "Assessing Financial Model Risk". *European Journal of Operational Research*, forthcoming
- ► Gianfreda A., Scandolo G. (2014) "Quantifying Model Risk in Electricity Markets". Working paper.
- Alexander C., Sarabia J.M. (2012) "Quantile uncertainty and Value-at-Risk model risk." *Risk Analysis* 32 (8)
- Berstimas D., Lauprete G.J., Samarov A. (2004) "Shortfall as a risk measure: properties, optimization and applications" *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 28
- El Ghaoui L., Oks M., Oustry F. (2003) "Worst-case value-at-risk and robust portfolio optimization: a conic programming approach" *Operations Research* 51 (4)
- ► Glasserman P., Xu X. (2013) "Robust risk measurement and model risk" *Quantitative Finance*
- ► Kerkhof J., Melenberg B., Schumacher H. (2010) "Model risk and capital reserves" *Journal of Banking and Finance* 34**34** 267-279.
- Stahl G. (1997) "Three cheers" *Risk Magazine* 10 (5)